



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

2662	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
HARRITY & SNYDER, LLP 11240 WAPLES MILL ROAD SUITE 300 FAIRFAX, VA 22030 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2662	09/336,229	06/18/1999	STEVEN R. WILLIS	AGM-005	7390
11240 WAPLES MILL ROAD SUITE 300 FAIRFAX, VA 22030 DO, NHAT Q ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	26615	7590 07/14/2003			
SUITE 300 FAIRFAX, VA 22030 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2662	HARRITY & SNYDER, LLP			EXAMINER	
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	SUITE 300			DO, NHAT Q	
2663 A D				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003					19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/336,229	WILLIS, STEVEN R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhat Do ND	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply		(0) 50014				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Mary 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u>						
	is action is non-final.	responding so to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11,13-15,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,12 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		D (D M . (6				

Art Unit: 2663

* ;

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 13-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,115,373 to Lea in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,936,965 to Doshi et al.

Regarding to claim 1, Lea discloses a device with line cards ATM/IP (Fig. 1) comprising:

An interface (L-RCV) for receiving data stream from a single port (Fig. 2, Fig. 8; col. 3, lines 58-61; col. 4, lines 34-37);

An IP packet forwarding facility (P-IP, and MEM-I) for receiving and forwarding IP packet (Fig. 8; col. 4, lines 41-44; col. 6, lines 38-53);

An ATM cell forwarding facility (P-ATM, and MEM-A) for receiving and forwarding ATM cell (Fig. 8; col. 4, lines 41-44);

Since Lea describes IP packets and ATM cells are mixed on a link (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 58-60), but IP packets and ATM cells are handled in separate facility, it is inherent that the interface (L-RCV) taught by Lea comprises an device/director for identifying ATM cells and IP packets, and forward ATM cells and IP packet to proper facility.

Lea fails to disclose the received data stream is a non-ATM data stream. Doshi et al disclose a device in figure 1 for receiving multiple protocol data including ATM and IP data

Art Unit: 2663

(Col. 5, lines 25-30). Doshi et al also disclose the data is encapsulated in a non-ATM data stream (Col. 6, lines 2-18). A skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify the interface (L-RCV) in Lea system so that it receives the non-ATM as the Doshi et al receiver in order to employ the benefit of the Doshi et al method: less overhead demand (Col. 2, line 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art by the time the invention was made to receive data stream in a non-ATM data stream in the device taught by Lea.

Regarding to claims 2, 3, 19, and 20, Lea discloses the device is a chip (Col. 3, lines 49-52), and it is inherent that device comprises a housing that house the forwarding facilities.

Regarding to claims 6, 7, 11, and 13, Lea further discloses the device includes output ports for outputting data (Fig. 1; col. 3, line 40), and an ATM forwarding facility comprises ATM cell lookup (Fig.4) for forwarding ATM cells and an IP forwarding facility comprises IP packet lookup (Fig.4) for forwarding IP packet.

Regarding to claims 8, 9, and 10, ATM data is layer 2 data and IP data is layer 3 data.

Regarding to claim 14, Lea discloses the device in folded form with input/output ports, and line cards (Fig. 7b).

Regarding to claim 15, Lea discloses the line cards is interconnected by the interconnect SWITCH (Fig. 7b).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 16, and 18 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 5, 12, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2663

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhat Do whose telephone number is (703) 305-5743. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-5340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6743 for regular communications and 703-308-6743 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2663

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Nhat Do Examiner Art Unit 2663

ND

July 8, 2003

MELVIN MARCELO
PRIMARY EXAMINER